SEP 16 2005

NO.087 **D**01

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN CHUPA ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C. 28535 Orchard Lake Road Suite 60 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 Phone: 246-324-7787 Fax: 248-324-7784

Attorneys JOHN G. CHUPA

Office Administrator ALINA TOLKACHIER FATIMA HASSAN



CONF	IDEN	TALITY NOTICE:			
□ Urg	ent	□ For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Gail
Rei	Serl	al No. 09/782,353	GC:		<u></u>
Phon4	11		Pages	49 includia	a Cover shoot
Faxi	671-	273-8300	Dates	Sep 16th,	
				1 444	-
Tos	Peti	tions	From	John G. Chupa, Esq.	

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the transmittal, the information contained in this faceimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication and are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and

return the original message to us at the address above via the U.S. Postal Service.

SEP 16 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Martin Daniels

Examiner:

Ashley, Boyer Dolinger

Serial Number:

09/782,353

Group Art Unit:

3724

Filed:

02/12/2001

Attorney Docket No. 207-001

For: "Cutter"

Box No Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1,191(a)

Dear Sir/Madam:

It is respectfully petitioned that the requirement of 37 C.F.R 1.53(b) sets forth procedures for petitioning the Director of the USPTO to accord a filing date to correspondence as of the date stamped on postcard receipt. Particularly, in the above-mentioned patent application, a reply to the Office Action dated 11/27/2002 was received by the USPTO according to the received postcard receipt showing the date stamp of 09/03/2004 with a Petition to Revive the Application. The Petition to Revive was Granted, on 09/17/2004. Furthermore, a reply to a notice of noncompliant amendment dated 01/10/2005 was received by the USPTO according to received postcard receipt showing the date stamp of 01/28/2005.

A copy of each of these postcard receipts is attached. Additionally, a response to the notice of non compliant amendment is also enclosed with this petition.

Because of the foregoing reasons, the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn pursuant to 37 C.F.R 1.181(a).

P03

If the Examiner has any further questions regarding this matter she is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned Attorney at (248) 324-7787.

Respectfully submitted

John G. Chups (Reg. No. 33,483) Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C.

28535 Organd Lake Rd., Suite 50

Farmington Hills, MI 48334 Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I, Alina Tolkachier hereby certify that the foregoing Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope as First Class Mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 16th day of September, 2005.

Alina Tolkachier

For:

The Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C.

28535 Orchard Lake Rd., Suite 50

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

SEP 1 6 2005



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COPY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patron and Trademark United
Address Commissioner For Patron's
P.O. Box 1459
Alternology University 22313-1459

APPLICATION NO.	PILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782.353	02/12/2001	Martin Dapiels	207-001	7800
75	90 09/02/2003		EXAMI	
John G. Chung	<u>l</u>		Ashley, Boye	r Dolinger
Law Offices of	John G. Chupe & Associ	ates, P.C.	ART UNIT	Paper Number
28535 Orchard Ste. 50	Faka Koso		3724	
Farmington Hill	s, M1 48334	DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Part of Paper No. 20050812

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· ·	1 '	DANIELS, MAR	TIN
Notice of Abandonment	09/782,353	Art Unit	
MARIOR DI COMMUNICIONI	· ·	2724	
	Boyer D. Ashley	3724	dress-
The MAILING DATE of this communication as	pears on the cover sneet wi	At the carrobangers and	
This application is abandoned in view of:		. 5005	
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A raply was received on	of month(s)) which explines not constitute a proper reply tion consists only of: (1) a timelied Notice of Appeal (with appear CFR 1.114).	red on under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to ly filed amendment which p eal fee); or (3) a timely filed filde attempt at a proper re	the final rejection. aces the Request for
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.80(8) and 1.111. (See	ee explanation in box 7 below).	•	·
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO (a) The issue fee and publication fee. If applicable,	15-40 h	- Continues of Mailing of	Transmission dated
In Insufficient A bala	ance of \$ ls due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication tee, it requi	red by 37 CFR 1.18(a), is a	 -
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	e not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as		ee-month period set in, the	Natice of
Allowability (PTO-37). (a) I'll Proposed corrected drawings were received on	(with a Certificate of Mali	ing or Transmission dated _), which Is
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.			
			- I-tomet or all of
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed to the applicants.	•		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed in 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interest of the decision has expired and there are no allowed	terference rendered on I claims.	aug pecanse ije beliog ipi.	BBAKING CONT. 1641944
7. 🗆 The reason(s) below:			
Patilions to raylys under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to	ou a contra halding of apondonm	Boyer D. Ash Primary Exan Art Unit: 372	niner 4
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.197(8) or (b), or requests to	Milidraw the holding of abandohim	BUP RUMON AL PALLS STEELS STEEL	

The received stamp of the United States Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of:

Applicant(s): Title:

Martin Daniels

Cutter

Serial No.:

09/782,353

Attorney Docket No .: -

207-001

Response to Notice: Certificate of Service 9 pages

1 page





Law Offices of John Chups & Associates. Low Chups & Associates Rd.

See So. So. So. So. Access Miller IM. Access IM. All Miller IM. Access IM. Access IM. Miller IM. Access IM.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

Ì

I hereby certify that the foregoing Response to Notice of Non-Complaint Amendment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope as First Class Mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 25th day of January 2005.

Alina Tolkachie

For:

The Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C.

28535 Orchard Lake Rd., Suite 50 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

)

The received stamp of the United States Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of:

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIAN

Applicant(s): Title:

Martin Daniels

Cutter

Serial No.:

09/782,353

Attorney Docket No.:

207-001

Response to Notice:

9 pages

Certificate of Service

1 page

NO.087

SEP 16 2005



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT COMMERCE United States Patent and Tridemark Office Adjust COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR BY 1450 August United States 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	MRST HAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/782,353	02/12/2001	Martin Deniels	287-001	7800		
75	9n 61/10/2005	REMIMAKE				
John G. Chupa	•	•	Ashley, Boy	R DOLINGER		
Law Offices of	John G. Chupa & Associa	ntes, P.C.	ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2853S Orchard Lake Road Ste. 50			3724			
Parmington Hill	Permington Hills, MI 48334			DATE MAILED: 01/10/3005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



encerts States Patelle and Trademark Office

Commended For Parishing States Fars of the Parishing States Farson and Francisco Color of the Parishing States of the Parishin

Paper No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

37 CFR be comp	. 1.121. as sliant, co sut must	document filed on 9-5-64 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of a named on June 30, 2003 (see 68 feet. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to rection of the following item(s) is required. Only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment be resultantified (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's current must be re-submitted. 37 CFR 1.121(h).
THE FO	I. Amer	NG CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT indiments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other Specification should be marked-up replacement paragraphs.
	2. Absii	A. Not presented on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1,72. B. Other
	3. Ame	ndments to the drawings:
	4. Ame	A. A complete listing of <u>all</u> of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order E. Other.
For fur	ther expla	anation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at gov/web/ntlices/pse/dapp/opls/preognotice/officeftyer.pdf.
this let non-en change	er to sup	liant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of ply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Fallure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in a preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed reliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit le.
Since (ie amend	pliant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a submission for an RCE), and liment appears to be a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR (36(a).
respon	se to a fi	nt is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for and rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant endment.
Det	orah	Polland 571. 272-4383

Rev. 10/03

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

Telephone No.

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE HIGHLIGHTS

Technical Support Staff

Requirements of the revised amendment practice of the final rule for all amendments filed on or after July 30, 2003:

- 1. Each amendment section must begin on a separate sheet
- 2. A <u>separate "clean" version</u> of a currently amended paragraph or claim is NO LONGER REQUIRED (except for a <u>substitute</u> specification see No. 5 below)
- 3. If the amendment adds, changes, or deletes any claim, a <u>listing</u> of all <u>claims</u> that are, or were, in the application, must be provided as follows:
 - The claims must be listed in ascending numerical order
 - The text of all pending claims including withdrawn claims must be presented; no text for "canceled" or "not entered" claims
 - A <u>status identifier</u> must be presented in parentheses after the claim number for each claim; only the following identifiers are permitted: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented), and (not entered)
 - All fourrently amended" claims must have <u>markings</u> to show changes; the only markings permitted are underlining for added matter, strikethrough and double brackets [[]] (for five or fewer characters) for deleted matter.
 - Grouping of consecutive "canceled" or "not entered" claims permitted (e.g., claims 2-8 (canceled))
- 4. Upon receipt of a complete listing of all claims, LIEs should cancel all previous versions of claims in the application by drawing a line through the previous versions of claims
- 5. Amendments to the specification by marked-up replacement paragraphs or sections only no clean replacement paragraph or section is required; NO replacement sheets permitted
 - Note, however, that for <u>substitute</u> specifications, rule 1.125 continues to require both a clean and marked-up copy
- 6. <u>Orawing changes</u> without markings clean replacement drawing sheets only annotated sheet(s) showing changes may be submitted



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 23313-1450

Paper No. 11

JOHN G. CHUPA 28535 ORCHARD LAKE RD., STE 50 FARMINGTON, HILLS, MI 48334

SEP 1 7 2004

In re Application of Martin Daniels Application No. 09/782,353 Filed: February 12, 2001 Attorney Docket No. 207-001 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 3, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed November 27, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on February 28, 2003.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (703) 306-5684.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 3724 for further processing.

Irvin Dingle
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

The received stamp of the United States Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon asknowledges the filing of:

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF PATENT ABANDONED LININTENTIONALLY UNDER 31 CFR 1.137(b) AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

UNDER 37 CFR 1437(b) AND RESY UNSE AV SERVER
Applicant(s): Martin Mathew Daniels

207-001
1 pages
2 pages
5 pages
5 pages
920 0

1

Check No. 7147 in the amount of \$665.00

Response to Office Action:

Transmittal Letter: Petition for Revival: Notice of Abandonment:

Affidavit:

Attorney Docket No.:

Title:

"Cutter"

Practitioner's Docket No. 207-001

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 EV254792569US

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR PETITION TO REVIVE PATENT UNTENTIONALLY ABANDONED UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Dear Sir/Madam:

The following documents are submitted in connection with this transmittal letter:

- 1. Petition to revive patent abandoned unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b).
- 2. Response to Office Action.
- 3. A check in the amount of \$665.00, made payable to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

4. A copy of the Notice of Abandonment.

AKA

3rd September 2004

John G Chupa, Esq.

Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C.

25825 Orchard Lake Rd., Suite 50 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

EV 254792569 US Express Mail Label Number 3rd September 2004 Date of Deposit

The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Address" service under 37 C.P.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Alina Tolkachier

09/16/2005

15:16

SEP 1 6 2005

PTO/5B/64 (11-03)

NO.087 R26

Approved for use thro. 37/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Approved for use through Under the Paparwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED Docket Number (Optional) UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) 207-001 First named inventor, Martin Daniels Application No.: 09/782,353 Art Unit: 3724 Filed: 02/12/2001 Examiner: Ashley, Boyer Dollnger Title: Cutter Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patenta P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX: (703) 308-6916 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282. The above-identified application became abandoned for fallure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee: (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal discipliner with discipliner fee -- required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional, 1. Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ 665.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity - fee \$ _____(37 CFR 1.17(m)) 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of _ (Identify type of reply): has been filed previously on _ is enclosed herewith. B. The issue fee and publication fee (if required) of \$_____ has been paid previously on _____ is enclosed herewith. (Page 1 of 2) This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USFTO to process) an epplication. Confidentiality is governed by 38 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including patheting, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the emount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent

and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commission, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22319-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mall Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/84 (08-05)

Approved for use ^7/\$1/2008. OMB 0661-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. 0 YTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Raduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
☑ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or	after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CF other than a small entity) disclaiming the required	R 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for a period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).
filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)	d reply from the due date for the required reply until the was unintentional. [NOTE. The United States Patent and tion if there is a question as to whether either the 7 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP
WARNING: Information on this form may become be included on this form. Provide credit card	ome public. Credit card information should not information and authorization on PTO-2038.
9.3.04	
Date	Signature
Telephone 248-324-7787	John G.Chupa
Number: 248-324-7787	Typed or printed name
	28535 Orchard Loke Rd., Ste. 50
. —	Address
Enclosures: Fee Payment	Fermington Hills, Michigen 48334
☐ Reply	Address
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form	
Additional sheats containing stateme	nte establishing unintentional delay
Other:	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	·
deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mall in an envelope addressed to: N P.O, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	e on the date shown below with sufficient postage as all Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents.
transmitted by facsimile on the date shown be (703) 308-6916.	ow to the United States Pateril and Trademark Office at
9.3.04	42)
Date	Signature
	Alina Tolkachier
	Type or printed name of person signing certificate

8/31/2004

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN CHUP . ASSOC. 28535 ORCHARD LAKE RD. STE 50 FARMINGTON HILLS. MI 48334



7147

TO THE Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks PER OF	\$**665.00
ix Hundred Sixty-Five and 00/100*********************************	**************************************
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks	
Mail Stop	
P.O.Box 1450	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
(O: Pethian to Revive (207-001)	

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN CHUPA & ASSOC.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

8/31/2004

7147

Petition to Revive (207-001)

#007147# #272471836# 88000000B&#

665.00

FranklinBank-Checking N Petition to Revive (207-001)

665.00

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN CHUPA & ASSOC.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

8/31/2004

7147

Polition to Revive (207-001)

665.00

FranklinBank-Checking N Petition to Revive (207-001)

665.00

- MCDEE TO REORDER 1 600 852-2337

MLT(C)-1

all imeas H



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademork Office address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS FO. Bes 1459 Alexandria Vagina 2213-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,353	02/(2/200)	Martin Daniels	207-001	7800
7	590 05/30/3003			
John G. Chup			EXAMI	NER
Chupa & Alber Suite 205	n, P.C.		ASHLEY, BOYE	R DOLINGER
31313 Northwe	stem Highway			
Farmington Hil	ls, MI 48334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			9724	
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Aladiaa al Abandan mand	09/782,353	DANIELS, MARTIN
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Boyer D. Ashley	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
This application is abandoned in view of:		·
1. Applicant's fallure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for raply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing of Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	tute a proper reply, or a bona fide at explanation in box 7 below).	empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.	•	
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee are from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-	nd publication fee, if applicable, withi 85).	n the statutory period of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we, which is after the expiration of the statutory particular (PTOL-85).	as received on (with a Certifi- period for payment of the issue fee (a	cate of Malling or Transmission dated and publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	ce of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, If required by 3'	7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as rec Allowability (PTO-37).	ulred by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tre	ansmission deted), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	ne attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	esentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla	rence rendered on and becal ims,	use the period for seeking court review
7. 🖾 The reason(s) below.		
A telephone call to John G. Chupa office confirmed	I that the instant application is inc	deed abandoned.
	·	Bur Aly
		Boyer D. Ashley Primary Examiner Art Unit; 3724
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrainlinize any negative effects on patent term.	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Daner No. 7

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 7

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 1 6 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Martin Daniels

09/782,353

Ashley, Boyer Dolinger Examiner: Group Art Unit: 3724

Serial Number: Filed:

02/12/2001

For: Cutter

Box Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Office Action of November 27, 2002, Applicant, through his undersigned attorney, responds follows:

In The Claims:

Claim 1, Line 1, please delete the word "second" and insert the words "metal stud" before the word "cutter",

Claim 1, Line 3, please delete the word "second".

Claim 2, Line 2, please insert the word "member" after the word "handle".

Claim 6, Line 1, please delete the word "comprised" and insert the word "comprising" therefore.

Claim 12, Line 1, please delete the words "relatively projecting" and "relatively thick".

Claim 12, Line 10, please insert the words "said handle

being" before the word "effective".

REMARKS

The Applicant's undersigned Attorney thanks the Examiner for a kind and thorough review of the Application.

Applicant has appropriately amended the claims to address the Examiner's informality rejections.

None of the art of record teaches or discloses a stud cutter, teaches or discloses a cutter having a stud reception member, or even addresses or concerns itself with the problems of cutting stude (see the background section of the pending application). Hence, the cited art is non-analogous art.

Particularly, the term "stud" is defined in <u>The American</u>

Heritage Dictionary of The English Language, published by The

Houghton Mifflin Company, to mean "an upright post in the

framework of a wall for supporting sheets of lath, wall board,

or the like". In accordance with this understanding, the

specification of the pending application discusses such stud

members (e.g., metal stud members) as being used to construct

"walls and other portions of buildings and various structures"

(see for example, lines 6 - 8 of page 1 of the specification).

Bushaw discloses a <u>cigar cutter</u> which <u>does not</u> have a stud reception member and which could <u>never</u> be used to sever a stud member. Similarly, Mendenhall discloses a paper perforator which <u>does not</u> have a stud reception member and which could never be used to sever a stud member. Maillez discloses a food

slicer which does not have a stud reception member and which could never be used to sever a stud member. Miller also discloses a cigar cutter.

In summary, all of the cited art is <u>non-analogous art</u> and is not properly citable against the pending claims. Query, how could a cigar cutter or a "paper hole producer" ever been used to cut a stud?

Rather, <u>all</u> of the pending claims relate to a apparatus for cutting a <u>metal stud</u> and there is no teaching or disclosure, <u>anywhere within the cited art</u> of the need or desire to have a tool cut a metal stud or of any of the disadvantages of cutting a stud using current techniques (see, for example, the "Background of the Invention" section of the pending application).

Further, none of the art of record teaches or discloses the use of a stud reception portion which is disclosed to have "a thickness which is substantially similar to the thickness of a stud member" (lines 7 - 8 of page 4 of the pending application). In fact, a stud would not properly fit within any of these devices/apparatus and they are not configured to cut such a stud.

For these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the pending claims are all allowable over the art of record and such allowance is requested. If the Examiner has any further

09/16/2005 15:16

questions, the Examiner is invited to call Applicant's undersigned attorney at (248)-324-7787. An affidavit from the inventor is attached which details the non-obvious nature of the inventions and details why the claims are patentably distinct from the prior art of record.

Respectfully submitted,

John G. Chupa (Reg. No. 33,483) Law Offices of John Chupa &

Associates, P.C.

28535 Orchard Lake Rd., Suite 50

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that the foregoing Response to Notice of Abandonment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope as First Class Mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 3rd day of September 2004.

Alina Tolkachie

For:

The Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates, P.C. 28535 Orchard Lake Rd., Suite 50

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

1 SEP 1 6 2005



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Department of Commerce United States Fatest and Tradsmert Office Address Commissioner of Patents and Thademarks Washington, D.C. 20031

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNBY DOCKBT NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,353	02/12/2001	Martin Daniels	207-00)	7800
7:	590 11/27/2002			
John G. Chup			EXAM	NER
Chupa & Alber Suite 205	ti, P.C.		ASHLEY, BOYE	R DOLINGER
31313 Northwe				
Farmington Hil	ils, MI 48334		ART UNIT	Paper number
			3724	
			DATE MAIL EDVILLERAND	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/782,353	DANIELS, MARTIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
ALAU MIO DATE	Boyer D. Ashley	3724
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is especified above, the maximum statutory period or - Pathure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CRISTS 130 application to become ARANCONE	with the considered timety. The making date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 C	October 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matters, pr Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the ments is 53 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 12-15 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	relection requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12) The eath or declaration is objected to by the Exe		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	h-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		ray ar (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a daim for domestic		
 a)	visional application has been rece c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	alved. and/or 121,
Attachment(s)		j
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-848) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal D	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patern and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8, in Paper No. 5, and cancellation of the non-elected claims 9-11, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant's addition of claims 12-15 is acknowledged and appear similar enough to claims 1-8 to be included in the following examination. Therefore, claims 1-8 and 12-15 are pending in the instant application.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the reference characters do not match the ones used in the specification, for example, the sign "36" is used for the bushings yet here in the abstract it is used for one of the cutters. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the use of the term "second" with expressions "a second stud reception member" and "second handle member" is confusing, in that, it implies that there is a first stud reception member and/or a first handle member.

D42

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 3

In claim 2, there is no positive antecedent basis for "said handle".

In claim 6, the phrase "... said cutting assembly comprised a serpentine..." is confusing, in that, it is awkwardly worded. It would appear to be better phrased like "... said cutting assembly comprising a serpentine...".

In claim 12, the phrase "... having a first relatively projecting portion and a second relatively thick portion" is confusing, in that, it is not clear as to what the first and second portions are related to. Moreover, it is not clear what "thick" encompasses. The phrase "a pair of substantially identical ... and to said handle, effective to move ..." is confusing, in that, it is awkwardly worded. The phrase following the comma does not serve to further describe the handle but rather the severing members. Better language would be "a pair of substantially identical ... and to said handle, said handle effective to move ...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bushaw, U.S. Patent 1,850,314.

Bushaw discloses the same invention as claimed including: a first support member (upright 4); a workpiece reception member (39/40/41) perpendicularly attached to the first support member (see Figures 1 and 2); a handle member (20) attached to

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

the first support member (see Figures 1 and 2) and includes a pair of identical severing members (each cutting edge of star-knife 26 or 26 and 30).

As to claims 2 and 6, the handle of Bushaw is curved and therefore is serpentine shaped.

As to claims 3 and 8, the reception member is a telescoping member (39/41, see Figure 2).

As to claim 4, it should be noted that in apparatus claims the workpiece does not further limit the apparatus of claim 1.

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mendenhall, U.S. Patent 634,304.

Mendenhall discloses the same invention as claimed including: a first support member (A or B'); a workpiece reception member (L) perpendicularly attached to the first support member (directly to A or indirectly to B'); a handle member (G and F) attached to the first support member (directly to B' or indirectly to A) and includes a pair of identical severing members (C).

As to claims 2 and 6, the handle of Bushaw is curved and therefore is serpentine shaped.

As to claims 3 and 8, the reception member is a telescoping member (see Figure 1 where L is adjustable).

As to claim 4, it should be noted that in apparatus claims the workpiece does not further limit the apparatus of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

8. Claims 1, and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maillez, U.S. Patent 4,625,607.

Maillez discloses the same invention as claimed including: a first support member (7); a workpiece reception member (11) perpendicularly attached to the first support member (see Figures 1 and 2); a handle member (26) attached to the first support member (16) and includes a pair of identical severing members (18).

As to claim 4, it should be noted that in apparatus claims the workpiece does not further limit the apparatus of claim 1.

9. Claims 12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bushaw, U.S. Patent 1,850,314, and Miller, U.S. Patent 396,339.

Bushaw discloses the same invention as claimed including: a workpiece reception portion (39-41) having a certain shape capable of receiving and supporting a metal stud; a support member (1-4,24,31,32) having a first relatively projecting portion (1) and a second relatively thick portion (24,31,4), wherein the reception portion is mounted upon the second portion; a serpentine shaped handle (handle 20 is curved) which is pivotally coupled to the second portion; a pair of substantially identical severing members (each cutting edge of star cutter 26 or 26 and 30, see column 2, line 2, where it states that the cutter 30 is attached as shown in Miller) which are pivotally couple to the second portion and capable of moving from an open position to a closed position upon movement of the handle toward and away from the support member.

As claim 14, the reception portion is orthogonal to the longitudinal axis of the reception portion.

P45

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 6

As to claim 15, the pair severing members having at least two sharp edges as shown in Figure 1.

As to the phrases directed toward the specific workpiece, for example, "... which is similar to said metal stud, effective to receive and support said metal stud", they do not further define the invention as they are directed to a specific workpiece.

10. Claims 12-13 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mendenhall, U.S. Patent 634,304.

Mendenhall discloses the same invention as claimed including: a workpiece reception portion (A/J or L) having a certain shape capable of receiving and supporting a metal stud; a support member (A and B' or B') having a first relatively projecting portion (A or B') and a second relatively thick portion (B' or O), wherein the reception portion is mounted upon the second portion; a serpentine shaped handle (F/G) which is pivotally coupled to the second portion; a pair of substantially identical severing members (C) that are pivotally couple to the second portion and capable of moving from an open position to a closed position upon movement of the handle toward and away from the support member.

As to the phrases directed toward the specific workpiece, for example, "... which is similar to said metal stud, effective to receive and support said metal stud", they do not further define the invention as they are directed to a specific workpiece.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 7

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the Invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been abvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bushaw, U.S. Patent 1,850,314, and Miller, U.S. Patent 396,339.

Bushaw and Miller Discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for: the pair of feet for supporting the workpiece support; however, the examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the art to use feet in conjunction with workpiece supports for the purpose of supporting a workpiece support on a uneven ground or to provide stability during a cutting operation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use feet with the workpiece support of Bushaw and Miller in order to provide stability to the device during a cutting operation.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,353

Art Unit: 3724

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7:30am and 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Boyer D. Ashley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

bda November 19, 2002 09/16/2005

15:16

SEP 16 2005

NO.087

D48

Natice of References Cited			٥	pplication/Control No. 9/782,353	Applicant(s)/F Reexamination DANIELS, MA	on	
		Hana at Maintaile	a Citon	- 1	xaminer	Art Unit	Page 1 of 1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Boyer D. Ashley 3724		3724	i ogp i oi i
 1	, — , m. 4	Document Number	Date	U.S. PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	· .	
*		Country Code-Number-Kind Code	MM-YYYY		Name :		Ciassification
	A	US-5,251,524	10-1993	Clifford, Le	0 D.		83/383
	B	US-4,708,533	11-1987	Giulle, Joe D.			83/599
	С	US-4,625,607	12-1986	Maillez, Pa	oul	63/466.1	
	D	US-4,130,037	12-1978	Matthews,	Laren A.		83/56
	E	US-3,726,171	04-1973	Strybal, Ri	chard V.		63/643
	ĮL.	US-3,393,595	07-1968	Halverson	et el.		83/580
	O	US-2,660,241	11-1953	Junkunc			83/801
	Ŧ	US-1,850,314	03-1932	Bushaw			83/589
	_	US-1,617,461	02-1927	Scranton			83/157
		US-634,304	10-1899	Mendenha	1		83/516
	K	US-396,339	01-1889	Miller			83/468.2
	L	US-			,		
	М	UŞ-					
				FOREIGN PA	TENT DOCUMENTS		
		Document Number	Date MM-YYYY	Cou	ntry	Name	Classification
*		Country Code-Number-Kind Code	10,000				
*	N	Country Cods-Number-King Code					
*	N O	Country Cods-Number-King Code					
*		Country Code-Number-King Code					
*	0	Country Cods-Number-King Code					
*	O P	Country Cods-Number-King Code					
*	O & G	Country Code-Number-King Code		•			
*	0 e 0 æ	COUNTY COOP-NUMBER-KING COOP		,			
*	0 e 0 æ			NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS		
*	0 e 0 æ			NON-PATE		olume, Pertinent Pages)	
*	0 e 0 æ			NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	olume, Pertinent Pages)	
*	O P O R S T			NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	olume, Pertinent Pages)	
*	O P Q R S T			NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	olume, Pertinant Pages)	
*	O P Q R S T			NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	olume, Pertinent Pages)	
	O P Q R S T U V W X		de as applicable	NON-PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS Date, Publisher, Edition of Vo	olume, Pertinent Pages)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 8